

**REMARKS**

The present communication responds to the non-final Office action of May 10, 2007 in which the Examiner rejected claims 30-33, 38-42, 48, 53-55, 57, and 82-86 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as anticipated by U.S. Patent 5,545,147 (“Harris”). Claims 34-37, 50-52, 58, 59, 64-72, 74-76 and 87-89 are allowed.

Claims 30-33, 38-42, 48, 49, 53-55 and 57 have been cancelled.

The claim rejections are traversed in view of the cancellation of the claims and, regarding claims 82-86, for the reasons articulated below.

Reconsideration is requested.

**Allowed Claims**

The allowance of claims 34-37, 50-52, 58, 59, 64-72, 74-76 and 87-89 is appreciated.

**Rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 102**

Claims 30-33, 38-42, 48, 53-55, 57, and 82-86 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as anticipated by Harris.

Claims 30-33, 38-42, 48, 53-55, and 57 have been cancelled, without prejudice, obviating this rejection to expedite allowance. While claim 49 was not mentioned, it depends from claim 48 and has also been cancelled, without prejudice, to expedite allowance.

Applicant notes that claims 82-86 were rejected. However, because they depend from allowed claim 34 and incorporate all of the limitations of claim 34, they are allowable for the same reasons.

Conclusion

This paper does not generate any fees. However, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any deficiencies and credit any overpayments associated with this paper to Deposit Account No. 04-1420.

The application now stands in allowable form, and reconsideration and a Notice of Allowance are requested.

Respectfully submitted,

DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP  
**Customer Number 25763**

Date: May 21, 2007

By: David E. Bruhn  
David E. Bruhn, Reg. No. 36,762  
(612) 340-6317